

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT SCREENING

Title	Public Space Protection Order
Lead Officer	
Service	Housing and Community Services
Date Created	28/06/2017
Review Date	

1. What is the title of policy, strategy, function, procedure or project?

Public Space Protection Order

2. Is this a new or existing process?

New process to be introduced to replace current designated public protection order in place in Hatfield Town Centre.

3. What is the aim and key objectives of this process?

To use new powers to reduce impact of anti-social behaviour linked to street drinking, begging and rough sleeping

4. What are the main activities of this process?

To allow police and other accredited officers to request that persons engaging in anti-social behavior refrain from doing so and taking action if they refuse.

5. Who are the main stakeholders of this process (e.g. councillors, employees, residents, local authorities / other housing providers, police, health, etc.)?

Town council, businesses, local authority, police and residents

6. What outcomes are wanted from the process?

That businesses and public are not affected by anti-social behaviour caused by persons engaging in such behaviour in Hatfield town centre and Parkhouse Court

7. Are there any factors that might prevent the outcomes being achieved (e.g. funding, staffing, political, economic change)?

Not if procedures are followed

8. Describe what consultation has been undertaken on this process, who was involved and the main outcomes.

Businesses and local users of the town centre, partners of the CSP. Further consultation will be undertaken once approved

9. Has any other data been used to help with the process development or review? Please outline what and how.

Police data on reported anti-social behaviour

10. Do you consider the process could have a negative, positive or neutral / no impact on age? Why is this?

No impact – there are a variety of persons of all ages linked to anti-social behaviour in the locations to be covered by the order. Positive impact – perception of crime and anti-social behaviour will reduce particularly amongst the older generation

11. Do you consider the process could have a negative, positive or neutral / no impact on gender? Why is this?

No impact

12. Do you consider the process could have a negative, positive or neutral / no impact on sexual orientation? Why is this?

No impact

13. Do you consider the process could have a negative, positive or neutral / no impact on race? Why is this?

No impact

14. Do you consider the process could have a negative, positive or neutral / no impact on religion / belief? Why is this?

No impact

15. Do you consider the process could have a negative, positive or neutral / no impact on disability? Why is this?

No impact

16. Do you consider the process could have a negative, positive or neutral / no impact on gender reassignment? Why is this?

No impact

17. Do you consider the process could have a negative, positive or neutral / no impact on marriage / civil partnership? Why is this?

No impact

18. Do you consider the process could have a negative, positive or neutral / no impact on pregnancy and maternity? Why is this?

No impact

19. Please outline from the questions 10 -18 whether the proposed process either disadvantages or puts any group(s) at risk.

None

20. If, in your judgment, the proposed process has a negative impact, can this impact be justified?

N/A

21. If the impact cannot be justified, what can be done to improve access / take up of the process or remove the risk?

N/A

22. If there is no evidence to show the process promotes equality, equal opportunity or improved relations, can it be adapted so it does?

N/A

23. Does this process need to go on to a full assessment?

No